

**REMARKS**

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 1-11 are pending and under consideration.

**I. CLAIMS 1-10 ARE REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C 102(E) AS BEING ANTICIPATED BY JOHNSON ET AL. (2005/0251409 A1)**

Claim 1 recites "displayed information which, without the common registration area, would be registered *in a different way by a tool corresponding to each type of information as unclassified information*; and ...registering specified information...when a user performs a *drag-and-drop* operation of specifying arbitrary information *on the screen*."

Johnson et al. relates to a supplier portal comprising a system and method for an ecatalog Supplier Portal to "self author". Johnson et al. does not teach that the displayed information would be registered *in a different way by a tool corresponding to each type of information*. Johnson et al. fails to teach or suggest when a user performs a "drag-and-drop" operation "*on the screen*" to move the specified information in the registration area. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 is patentably distinguishable over Johnson et al.

In regards to claim 4, it is respectfully submitted that the above arguments apply, thereby making claim 4 patentably distinguishable over the cited art.

Claim 9 recites:

a user performs a *drag-and-drop* operation of specifying arbitrary information and moving the information in a common registration area for registering a plurality of types of different information as the unclassified information; and

wherein, without the common registration area, the plurality of types of different information would be registered *in a different way by a tool corresponding to each type of information*.

Johnson et al. does not teach the plurality of types of different information would be registered *a different way by a tool corresponding to each type of information* and the *drag-and-drop* operation. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 9 is patentably distinguishable over the cited art.

Claims 2-3 depend on independent claim 1, claims 5-8 depend on independent claim 4, and claim 10 depends on independent claim 9. These dependant claims include all of the features of their respective independent claim, plus additional features which are not taught or suggested by the cited art and therefore patentably distinguish.

## II. NEW CLAIM

New claim 11 emphasizes an information registration device comprising "a second storage unit collectively storing unclassified information when a user performs a *drag-and-drop* operation ... wherein ... the plurality of types of different information would be registered in a *different way by a tool corresponding to each type of information.*"

Johnson et al. does not teach or suggest an information registration device in this manner. It is respectfully submitted that this new claim distinguishes over the cited art.

## III. CONCLUSION

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 1-28-08

By: 

John C. Garvey  
Registration No. 28,607

1201 New York Avenue, N.W., 7th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501